

# Appendix E

Report to Advisory Panel/Minutes of Advisory Panel

Meeting on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2007

## Responses to the Draft Delivery Agreement

Reference	Respondent	Agent (where used)
DA 1 / 1	Miss V Brown The Planning Inspectorate	

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: The Planning Inspectorate seek an amendment to the timetable to allow 12 months from the time of submission of the LDP to the Pre-Examination Meeting to the submission of the Inspectors Report.

Officer response: Agreed

Officer recommendation: The timetable to be amended accordingly.

Reference  
DA 2 / 2

Respondent  
ACA Dark  
St Ishmaels Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted.

Officer recommendation: No change.

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 3 / 3

Respondent  
Mr AW Davies  
Llwchwr Town Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: The Council supports the Delivery Agreement and is please to be included in the consultation process.

Officer response: Noted - Support welcome.

Officer recommendation: No change.

Reference  
DA 4 / 4

Respondent  
Cllr T Evans  
Llannon Community Council

Agent (where used)  
Mr O Enoch  
Llannon Community Council

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation: We would have liked more time to consider. We meet once per month and it is a lot to take in (and photocopy).

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation: We are more pleased that it goes beyond land use matters only - we need to embrace spatial planning in Carmarthenshire in accordance with the 2004 Act.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation: This stage was rushed - but we understand also that WAG dictate to yourselves in terms of timetable. Councillors did not have time to fully consider the document, we only meet once a month.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation: We are keen to consult and would like to be considered to be placed on the stakeholders forum to represent Community Councils in Carmarthenshire. We are pleased to be included as a listed organisation.

Officer response: Welcomed - Town and County Council representation on the Stakeholder Forum will be determined in due course.

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation: Community Councils to be utilised as Community ambassadors/consultees within Carmarthenshire - Community Councils could facilitate for a meetings which could assist in increasing participation in our areas and feed back to County Council.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation: We again would like to lead on open consultations within the Llannon ward in order to increase participation. This could be through public meetings - we therefore see our role as consultee as well as facilitators within the LDP process.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: Good cross section, but we do feel stronger representations from private sector developers is an angle you could explore from start of the process. Also Welsh Language Board in terms of LIAs within Welsh speaking areas of Carmarthenshire.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: We would like developers to be consulted also, it makes little sense developing in isolation from market demand and private sector.

Officer response: Noted. The Delivery Agreement makes appropriate provision for developers to contribute to the process.

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: very good.

Officer response: : Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

*Representation:* We would like GIS general models. More information include workshops on S106. Develop an LDP website (offshoot from Carmarthenshire website).

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

Reference  
DA 5 / 5

Respondent  
Mr G Jones  
Cyngor Cymuned Cynwyl Elfed

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Key stage 2. Timetable - limited time.

Officer response: Noted - the timetable makes appropriate provision for key stage 2 in light of the restrictions imposed by regulating deadlines and requests.

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 6 / 6

Respondent  
Mr P Davies  
Llanelli Group of the Ramblers' Association

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Probably, but not competent to judge.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: See question 4.

Officer response: See response to question 4

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation: Hard to answer directly - see question 4.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: Probably, but not qualified to judge.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: See question 4.

Officer response: See response to question 4

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: The draft is comprehensive and clear, considering its wide scope, but this questionnaire, with its yes/no answers is unlikely to produce an accurate summary of opinion.  
Notes associated with questionnaire: There are some 4000 public rights of way in the county, many of which still need attention, despite marked improvements in recent years. In terms of knowledge and opinion of this vast network, and of coastal access, etc., I suggest the list of consultation bodies would benefit from some local addition. The Carmarthenshire Local Access Forum is a mixed body, including landowners; and the Ramblers Association, with its Welsh HQ in Cardiff, lacks the detailed knowledge of the state and significance of our county's rights of way. There are just three Ramblers' groups in the county - Llanelli (the largest, with more than 300 members), Carmarthen and Dinefwr. I strongly suggest these, or at least one of them, should be added to the list of consultees.

*Officer response:* Noted

Consideration will be given to the inclusion of such groups, within the list of consultees.

*Officer recommendation:* See above.

Reference  
DA7 / 7

Respondent  
Mr M Galbraith  
Llanelli Rural Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: 4 Members representing Town and Community Councils is too low - concern at how Councils will be heard and represented. Suggest that there should be a greater number representing Town and Community Councils based on areas and population bands.

Officer response: Noted - The membership of Key Stakeholder Forum is intended to consist of a range of representative organisations. The identification of 4 Town and Community Councils represents an appropriate number given the need to ensure that the range of views are appropriately conveyed and that the forum itself is of a manageable size so as to enable constructive outcomes. It should be noted that the Town & Community Councils not selected as representatives in the Key Stakeholder Forum remain statutory consultees and will not be precluded from participation.

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Whitland Town Council

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response: No

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 9 / 9

Respondent  
Mr D Morgan  
NFU

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: NFU Cymru's representative on the stakeholder forum will be Peter Frances,

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 10 / 10

Respondent  
National Grid

Agent (where used)  
Ms J Jones  
White Young Green Planning

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 11 / 11

Respondent  
Mr RA Phillips

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Requests to be a member of the Key Stakeholder Forum as he has substantial interests in Carmarthenshire.

Officer response: Disagree. It is considered that the appropriate membership for the Key Stakeholder Forum has been identified.

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 12 / 12

Respondent  
Welsh Ambulance Services

Agent (where used)  
Mr Paul Vining  
White Young Green

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: Please add: Welsh Ambulance Services NHS Trust c/o Agent

Officer response: Agreed

Officer recommendation: Amend Consultee list as appropriate.

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 13 / 13

Respondent  
Mr F Ffransis  
Cymdeithas yr Iaith

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation: Not fully comprehending the concept of sustainable communities - see our comments.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation: But there is a need to allow for the procedure for preparing local action plans which are referred to in our notes.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation: It explains the current intention. In our notes, we urge the Council to go the extra mile.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation: Inappropriate: incomplete - see our notes regarding 1) Meetings to discuss action plans for every Welsh language community. W) The Role of the Community Councils - less emphasis on the institutional partners. 3) School pupils - see note 4) Community Newspapers - see notes.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation: The role of those who are not in the privy circle of the institutional partners would be very limited.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: Far too institutional - see our notes on public meetings and campaigns.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: as above.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: as above.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: In response to the County Council's draft Delivery Agreement for preparing the Local Development Plan (which will in due course replace the Unitary Development Plan and set out a framework for developing our communities), Cymdeithas yr Iaith wish to highlight three fundamental considerations, and then track them through the draft.

1) The Aim of the Plan – Ensuring the continuance of our Welsh language communities should be a part of the concept of developing 'sustainable' communities.

a) The Assembly Government expects that the Local Development Plan will promote the objective of sustainable development in our local communities. There is nothing to prevent the Council from clarifying now at the start of this process that its concept of sustainability will include cultural and linguistic sustainability. In the current draft, there is only one brief reference to this, and there is a danger that the need to safeguard Welsh language communities – which is one of the

Council's own corporate objectives – will be marginalized in the Scheme. It must be stated at the start that sustaining the Welsh language and its culture in our communities is as central a consideration to the whole Plan as ensuring sustainable social/economic development and safeguarding the environment.

b) It is the wish of Cymdeithas yr Iaith that the County Council goes one step further still. The Unitary Development Plan will be simpler and more concise than the current Unitary Development Plan. However, within the Local Development Plan, there is provision for forming more specific Action Plans for specific Areas 'of change'. Often, this can mean an urban area with specific problems. Cymdeithas yr Iaith calls on the County Council to take advantage of this opportunity to create a tailor-made Action Plan for every Welsh language community in the County, recognising the danger that linguistic changes will occur and that Welsh language communities will be lost if a cohesive strategy is not drawn up to ensure their cultural sustainability. Every local Welsh language community should be part of the process of preparing the strategy so that they feel that they have ownership of it. We shall expand upon this in the next section.

There is nothing in the Act to force the County Council to take these two steps, but nor is there anything in the Act to prevent it from deciding now to take advantage of this unique opportunity to plan for the future of our Welsh language communities.

## 2) Consultation Methods

We acknowledge that the Draft Delivery Agreement represents a new attempt to ensure that far wider circles than the usual institutional 'partners' contribute to the preparation of the strategy for the future of our communities. This is much needed because of the lack of engagement of the current planning processes.

The public in general has not been drawn into the discussions regarding Unitary Plans nor the Framework. There is no education or training for such participation nor in our schools nor in the media. Consequently, most people do not realize the significance of a process which is very abstract to them. Usually, the public does not participate in the planning process until it is announced that a planning application has been made for a development in their community. People then feel frustrated and alienated when it is explained to them that their opportunity to raise objections or influence matters is limited by what is defined in a local Plan whose significance they had not realized at the time. In order to avoid such disengagement, the Council needs to go the extra mile to ensure that everyone can contribute from the start and so feel that they have ownership of the strategy. To encourage this, we suggest –

a) in accordance with 1b) above, that within the Local Plan, a special Plan is created for each Welsh language community. In close co-operation with the relevant Community Council, a public meeting should be arranged in each of these communities to discuss what kind of future they would wish for their communities. Otherwise, the danger is that the only responses the Council will receive from these communities will be an application from developers to take up an ever increasing share of their land for speculative developments. The meetings arranged by Cymdeithas yr Iaith and community organisations to discuss proposed developments in Porth-y-rhyd, Pont-tyweli and Waungilwen have shown how much of a response the public has to give when an effort is made.

b) The role of the Community Councils in this process should be upgraded. There ought to be a constant dialogue with them throughout the entire process rather than merely treating them as ordinary 'partners'.

c) A far greater effort is required than is noted in this draft to ensure enthusiastic discussion amongst young people. Every school should be contacted to arrange meetings and projects in schools, and every School Student Council should discuss the matter and make decisions.

d) There should be an additional effort to encourage discussion (not merely an explanation by the Council) in local papers.

## 3) Timetable

In general, we support the timetable proposed by the Delivery Agreement, and acknowledge that sufficient time has been allotted for consultation. It would be necessary to show where the preparation of the Local Action Plans would fit in this timetable.

Now, we will track these three threads through the various sections of the draft –

1.1.4 – Only in the final words of this paragraph is there a reference to the need to uphold the culture and language of these communities. There is a need to clarify in this section and to reiterate in the following sections that the concept of 'sustainable communities' means sustaining the language and culture of these communities. We propose therefore that the wording of this section

should be amended to read as follows – “The LDP will take a positive role in identifying appropriate sites for investment and development balanced against needs and considerations for the protection and enhancement of the natural and built environment and the linguistic and cultural nature of the community.

1.1.5 – Add to the wording – “The key theme underpinning the LDP strategy will be that of sustainable development and the principles derived from the objectives of maintaining economic growth, achieving social progress, protecting the environment and conserving natural resources, and safeguarding the Welsh language and its communities.” This additional text would merely express the Council’s own corporate objective.

1.1.6 – A consensus cannot be reached unless the local population contributes to the strategy and feels that it has ownership of it – rather than feeling later that external agents have intervened to have some of their community’s land designated for speculative developments.

1.2.2. – An important addition is required here as regards the Council’s own work in collecting data – “The Council will, prior to the preparation of the Pre-Deposit LDP, gather economic, social and environmental information, and linguistic evidence to note areas of potential change for special Plans, which will provide the factual evidence base.....”

1.3.2 – Whilst noting the proposed draft structure of the LDP, the fifth point refers to “Specific policies and proposals for key areas of change or protection”. We would argue strongly that these “key areas” which are undergoing a period of change, should include all of the County’s Welsh language communities which are in danger of losing their cultural identity and that special policies are required for them and a plan for each drawn up in agreement with the local community.

1.7.1. – In referring to the statutory Sustainability Appraisal, the following definition is quoted “...reports on the extent to which implementations of the plan will achieve the environmental, social and economic objectives...” Perhaps it is not within the Council’s remit to amend or add to this standard definition, but the Council can explain from the start that it interprets social objectives as including cultural and linguistic aspects.

2.2 – In terms of decision-making, we believe that such a fundamental matter as this should always be brought before full Council rather than the Executive Board, in order to maintain the public’s confidence in the process.

2.3. – In terms of Corporate Fit, we wish to draw your attention once more to the fact that “safeguarding the language and its Communities” is a corporate objective and a basic part of the Council’s Corporate Strategy. That is why we are confident in asking for the revisions/additions to which we have referred already.

3.1.2 – We are in total agreement with the statement “Community involvement in the LDP process is vital in order to achieve a plan that has local ownership ...” This is entirely central to the whole enterprise and we offer the remainder of our suggestions in this section as positive means of strengthening the feeling of local ownership. It must be acknowledged that this has not happened in the past and that more enterprising methods are now required.

3.2/3.4 – We believe that these consultation lists are conservative, introverted and institutional.

3.5 – It is interesting to see an attempt here to “engage/involve people” in the process – as an implicit recognition that all those referred to so far have been institutions rather than genuine people.

3.5.7 – This is the weakest section in the document. Consultation with young people needs to extend much further than merely these fora. Every secondary school should be contacted to ensure that every School Student Council discusses the LDP and reaches a view on it and responds to it. Discussions should be held with governors with regard to creating LDP projects within schools – so that our young people become familiar with participating and feel that they have ownership of the strategy and a responsibility for the future of their communities.

3.5.8 – This is a dangerous development. If our other suggestions regarding the participation of local communities and young people are not implemented, there is a real danger that speculative developers will have a greater input into the process than local people. Any recommendation by the developer should be referred immediately to the relevant Community Council for comment.

3.7.1. – We suggest how this consultation circle should be extended. Instead of press statements and notices, a regular discussion forum should be encouraged in the local and community newspapers. Instead of occasional meetings, we have suggested that meetings be held in every community to discuss specific Action Plans for each community within the context of the LDP.

3.8.1. – That the LDP itself ensures the sustainability of our Welsh language communities in accordance with the Council’s corporate strategy and that which is contained in the agreement of the new Assembly coalition government, namely – “We will review and reissue Technical Advice Note (TAN) 20 with a view to allowing local authorities to use Language Impact Assessments for planning purposes in areas of housing pressure” is more important than producing all documents in Welsh.

3.11.4 – Rather than merely including reports in ‘Community News’, responses should also be included and debate encouraged.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:*

Reference  
DA 14 / 14

Respondent  
Mr D S Thomas  
Carmarthen Town Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Members noted the Draft Delivery Agreement and resolved to reserve comment until a later stage in the Development Plan process.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 15 / 15

Respondent  
Mr S Hurr  
Pembrokeshire County Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation: The Planning Inspectorate require 12 weeks from pre-examination meeting to examination and 22 weeks for reporting and this needs to be reflected in the timetable.

Officer response: Agreed. The timetable will be amended to accord with Planning Inspectorate requirements.

Officer recommendation: See above

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: Pembrokeshire County Council is listed as a specific consultee which is welcomed.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 16 / 16

Respondent  
Cyngor Cymuned Llanfihangel ar Arth

Agent (where used)  
Mrs S A Evans  
Cyngor Cymuned Llanfihangel-ar-Arth

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: Heb gynnwys Undeb yr Annibynwyr Cymraeg. This does not include the Union of Welsh Independents.

Officer response: Noted

Consideration will be given to the identification of this group within the list of consultees.

Officer recommendation: see above.

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 17 / 17

Respondent  
Mr E Williams  
Llanddarog Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Community Councils as the democratically elected bodies who represent their communities should be involved at the earliest possible stage and a pro active effort by LDP team should be made to seek their views to ensure that the LDP is relevant, inclusive and engaging to local communities. The mistakes of the UDP must not be repeated. For local communities the SA and SAE stage will be critical in respect to social, linguistic, economic and environmental aspects and as such it is extremely important that individual Community Councils and local people are part of this process if a collaborative approach to the plan preparation based on consensus is to be achieved. An extension of the timescale may be required for this to take place but may save problems later on.

We assume as there does not appear to be any specific mention of other parts of the Draft Agreement, that the SA stage will provide the opportunity to consider the effect of development on the sustainability of the Welsh Language and culture of communities within Carmarthenshire.

In the determination of the LDP boundaries it would be helpful if the community council could be given an up to date plan of their area showing the existing UDP boundaries after the inspectors report, and the new indicative number of houses to be built on individual parcels of land.

*Officer response:* Noted. The respondents comments relate to specific policy and other issues to be addressed within the Local Development Plan itself. The timetable for preparation of the LDP has been developed in light of the restrictions imposed by regulatory deadlines and requirements. The Delivery Agreement clearly identifies the important role to be played by Town and Community Councils as a specific Consultation body and by virtue of representation on the Key Stakeholder Forum.

*Officer recommendation:* See above.

Reference  
DA 18 / 18

Respondent  
Mr D. H. Watkins  
Environment Agency Wales

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: 1. Ability to respond to consultations. Para.3.6.1 (Expectation Stakeholders): I appreciate that a 21-day turn around for consultation replies appears both rational and practical. In fact we aim to follow such timescales in the processing of planning applications. However this occasionally may be beyond our capabilities and in these circumstances we will contact you prior to the lapse of the 21 days period to advise of the delay timescale and reason. I hope this both sensible and satisfactory.  
2. Details required: Contact officer details within Carmarthen Planning Department.  
3. Request: Could you please provide the EAW with one hard copy of any future documentation/publications? Thank you in advance for your cooperation in this matter.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 19 / 19

Respondent  
Mr L Davies  
Llanelli Town Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: 1. Inclusion of the Salvation Army as one of the religious groups in Appendix 5.  
2. The Town Council is being asked to consider nominating a representative for selection to Key Stakeholder Forum (as one of the two urban town and community council representatives). A decision should be made on the 25th July 2007, after which I shall notify you.

Officer response: Agreed. Include the Salvation Army as a General Consultation Body within Appendix 5 of the Delivery Agreement. Pending confirmation acknowledge Llanelli Town Council as a representative of the Town and Community Councils in the Key Stakeholder Forum.

Officer recommendation: See above

Reference  
DA 20 / 20

Respondent  
Dr T. G. Evans  
Llansteffan Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: The selection of representative Community/Town Councillors is a key factor. They must be in close contact with the rest of the Community Councils/Town Councils. What is the mechanism for this? I assume 3.5.3 refers to response to the LDP Team.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation: Generally yes, as long as representatives on the Key Stakeholder Forum are aware of views of their constituencies, i.e. don't present a partial view.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation: Not until more detail is forthcoming. The document lays out clearly the general aims, but contributions from Community Councils will probably be more specific.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: A seminar for Community Councils would be useful to highlight their particular role (or have I missed one?)

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 21 / 21

Respondent  
T.B.H James  
Llandybie Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation: The periods for consultation/comments should be extended to ensure that Community and Town Councils have sufficient time to hold meetings to discuss the proposals.

Officer response: Noted. Appropriate periods will be provided for consultation/comment subject to the restrictions imposed by regulatory deadlines and requirements.

Officer recommendation: see above

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: See 2 above.

Officer response: Refer to response in respect of question 2a

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: See 2 above.

Officer response: Refer to response in respect of question 2a

Officer recommendation:

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

*Representation:* The idea is sound; hard involved work will be necessary to achieve the purpose. Being open to adaptation will be more difficult but will definitely be praiseworthy.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

*Representation:* I worry at the word sustainability especially since in 1.2.2 the SA report will provide for forms of development that are economically, socially and environmentally sustainable. The push for "economically sustainable" could lead to many tourist places to stay in but no public toilets for the to use whilst shopping or otherwise meandering and no parks to sit in, especially because of the County Council Assessment of Assets and no family history to follow up.

*Officer response:* Noted - Delivery Agreement sets out the commitment to the provision of appropriate resources.

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

*Representation:* With sufficient employed staff on the LDP team to complete it well. Collating all the information from the various sources; applying all the LAWS. Site visits will be necessary.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

*Representation:* Identification potential sites Jan 2008 - Jun 2008 - Opportunity to make representations on new or alternative sites Feb 2010 - May 2010. - (6 weeks) Errors? Will there be a "suggested" 'candidate site' mapping on the computer as "identifying appropriate sites" {1.1.4}? Such mapping would give the opportunity for any other "needs and considerations" of "culture and heritage of the County" to be expressed and considered before expensive further work.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

*Representation:* The "pre" involvement of as many views as possible should lead to a reflection of the Planning Policy Wales (2002)1.21. I would like to see a computer and someone conversant with planning at Llandeilo Council Offices.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

*Representation:* As a Council taxpayer, mother and grandmother I do have an interest in the future for Carmarthenshire. I also have rights of way to the graves of my relatives.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

*Representation:* I do not understand why the Royal National Lifeboat Institution, the Fire Service and the Ambulance, Air Ambulance etc. are not in this list. Not the Coastguard etc. (How large a group and areas of expertise have the community Legal Service? There appear to be new laws and changes every day.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

*Representation:* I cannot find representation for the relatives of those buried in the cemeteries of Carmarthenshire I do not consider the e.g. Methodist church to reflect my interest in the graves of my relatives.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

*Representation:* e.g. My husband and I are over 65 and we have never heard of the Services for Older People Planning Group. I am not familiar with the remit of any of the multi-agency partnerships. I would like to see representatives of Parent Teacher Organisations and Public Parks Committees involved.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

*Representation:* I would like any European Sites (sentence after first paragraph in 1.8.3) identified on mapping related to the Local Development Plan. Also, sites with European Directive 92/43/EEC Habitats.p.s. I am concerned that in the population figures Llandybie is more populated than Ammanford.

*Officer response:* Noted - Comments in respect of the identification of European sites relate to matters to be included within the LDP at the appropriate stage.

*Officer recommendation:* No change

Reference  
DA 23 / 23

Respondent  
Mr S White  
One Voice Wales

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: Please see accompanying letter for details on how One Voice Wales can assist in this regard.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation: The scheme indicates that the LDP advisory panel will comprise elected members. In view of the role of Community Councils as statutory consultees in the planning process, it is argued that the advisory panel should include representation from Community Councils. Regarding the LDP Advisory Panel, the consultation refers to this as compromising Elected Members and it is assumed that this is referring to County Councillors only. One Voice Wales believes that, as statutory consultees in the planning process, community and town councils should also be represented in the Panel to ensure local concerns are fully addressed. This will also help to ensure difficulties post-adoption of the Plan since community councils will have been actively informed in its development and have a greater degree of ownership of the Plan. Again, One Voice Wales would be able to provide representation for this purpose thereby ensuring the Panel does not become unwieldy.

Officer response: Welcomed

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation: Community Council involvement will add detailed local knowledge and understanding to the development of the LDP.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: Subject to comments above and accompanying letter. One Voice Wales can therefore provide the mechanism to nominate town and community councils for the Key Stakeholders Forum and the two way feedback in the LDP process. I understand that it is your intention to ensure that nominations for the Stakeholder Forum should be drawn from both urban and rural councils. We would be happy to discuss how best these might be defined and, from the breadth of our membership, One Voice Wales is ideally placed to undertake this task.

Officer response: Welcomed

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

*Representation:*

One Voice Wales would welcome the opportunity to discuss in more detail how we can assist in the development and monitoring of the LDP. The respondents provide background and context in respect of their organisation and notably that over 80% of Community and Town Councils in Carmarthenshire are members. One Voice Wales endorses the Local Community Network arrangements set up within the County and takes every opportunity to promote attendance by our member councils. It should, however, be noted that many member councils consider the Networks do not meet their aspirations for meaningful engagement with the County. In this context I would propose that the County Council may like to give consideration to viewing our Area Committee meetings as a useful tool to promote increased engagement with the community and town council sector within Carmarthenshire. I believe this will also have relevance in the context of the developing Local Service Board in the county.

*Officer response:*

Welcomed and noted

*Officer recommendation:* No change

Reference  
DA 24 / 24

Respondent  
Mrs M Bartlett  
Farmers' Union of Wales

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Not at present.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

Mudiad Ffermwyr Ieuainc Sir Gar

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery**

Representation: Confirm that Carmarthenshire Federation of young Farmers would like to be represented on the Stake Holders Forum

Officer response: Agreed

Officer recommendation: Delivery Agreement to be amended accordingly.

Reference  
DA 26 / 26

Respondent  
Ms S Miles  
South West Wales Integrated Transport Consortium  
(SWWITCH)

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation: However, SWWITCH should be included in Appendix 5 as a consultation body (as set out in the Regional Transport Plan guidance).

Officer response: Agreed

Officer recommendation: Delivery agreement to be amended accordingly.

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation: See comment at 3A re SWWITCH not being on list.

Officer response: See response to question 3a

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: See 3a and b - also please note Wales and West Trains do not exist and you should include Veolia in your local transport operators (they are a fast growing bus operator in SW Wales).

Officer response: See response to question 3a and agreed

Officer recommendation: Delivery Agreement to be amended accordingly.

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: Subject to comments above.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: However, you should consider some transport representation in addition to those listed.

Officer response: Noted. Consideration to be given to the identification of appropriate representation.

Officer recommendation: see above

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: The DDA is a very readable, well written document - Congratulations!

Officer response: Welcomed

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 27 / 27

Respondent  
Mrs E Ancrum  
Welsh Assembly Government

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation: Generally; see detailed comments below.

Officer response: Noted - see response to question 4

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation: Generally; see detailed comments below.

Officer response: Noted - see response to question 4

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation: The timetable meets the 4-year plan preparation requirement; although we note that PINS has provided comments on the post submission period that need to be taken account of. Detailed comments are provided below.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: Generally; see detailed comments below.

Officer response: Noted - see response to question 4

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation: No adverse comment.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation: No adverse comment.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: No adverse comment.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: No adverse comment generally; see detailed comments on appendix 5 below.

Officer response: Noted see response to question 4

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: No adverse comment.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Yes, see below.

COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT DELIVERY AGREEMENT:

1.1.6 – refers to consensus, but the DA doesn't fully clarify what will happen where consensus is not reached (we note reference in 3.4.2 to some arbitration by LDP Advisory Panel - see comment at 3.10 below.

1.2.1 – ref should be to Table 2 on page 9 not to Figure 2.1 (which doesn't exist).

1.2.6 – ‘sound’ – although meaning is covered at 1.9, suggest some clarification in introduction at this 1st mention of the concept (e.g. by ref to 1.9 or ref to a glossary); in addition, the reference from 1.4.4 should be to para 1.9

1.3.1 – we note the reference to the National Park, and would suggest that this be flagged up earlier in the introduction in para 1.1, clarifying that the Brecon Beacons NPA is the responsible local planning authority for development plan preparation in the area of the National Park including that which falls within the CCC area (for clarity this should be made clear in all key LDP documentation).

1.3.3&4 - suggest refer in Introduction to WAG LDP documents (LDPW / LDP Manual / Planning Your Community: A guide to LDPs); also to PINS A Guide to Examination of LDPs (– we note 1.9.3 reference)

1.3.4 – amend from ‘have to accord with’ to ‘have regard to’; suggest add that there is scope for local discretion where justified

1.5.1 ii - says consultation list not exhaustive & will be continuously developed – suggest DA could advise how a group could request inclusion.

1.6 – SPG – advises on possible SPG types; and says DA will identify known SPG to be prepared in tandem with LDP. However, the DA fails to say when this will be done and how the information will be made public. It also says CIS sets protocols for SPG, however it is not made specific in CIS exactly what processes would relate to SPG or which may be appropriate to differing types / scales of SPG.

SA/SEA:

1.7 (intro) + SA/SEA Stages Table 1 (page6)

1.7.2 refers to EC Directive – could also refer to the SEA Regs ;

- suggest footnotes on page 6 refer to LDP Manual guidance on SA/SEA ;

- Clarification of who the Environmental Consultation Bodies are could be provided in the DA.

- + see comments on App2 and consider whether SA/SEA Stages Table 1 should be amended.

HR Assessment:

1.8 Suggest give ref to the Transposing Amendment Regulations; Note that The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 were laid on 3 July 2007 & come into force on 21 August 2007)

- N.B. ensure that the DA allocates sufficient time & resource for HRA.

Timetable (general points):

- We note from PINs letter to you on 4June07 that inadequate time has been allocated for the period from submission of the LDP for examination – this should be amended to ensure this part of the timetable accords with the Inspectorate’s draft model 12 month programme from submission to publication of the Inspectors Report – the target overall timetable should be 4 years (see DPWales)  
- Suggest that Regulation numbers be added to the table and appropriate appendices.

2.1.2 & 4.4 – advises that the indicative timetable will be defined within 3 months of close of formal 6 week deposit; - suggest the DA clarify how the revised timetable will be made public.

2.2.1 – approvals of Exec Board and/or Full Council appears confusing and the distinctions are difficult to understand.

2.4.4 – Financial details – need to be provided to WAG PMPBranch when DA is submitted for agreement (although not necessary for them to be included in DA itself)

2.5.1 (typo – ref at 2.5.1 is to wrong appendix)

2.5.2 -suggest reference also gives actual slippage tolerance specified in 4.2 (3 months)

3.5.10 – hard to reach groups are mentioned, but there is no indication of who they are which would mean monitoring of their involvement would not be clear.

3.7 + App2 – refer to various communication methods – although not referred to, the DA could clarify any possible use of CD discs as this format not covered in LDP Regs.

Candidate Sites – the DA is not sufficiently clear about the Candidate Site / Register / Filtering process:

3.5.8 – advises that developers & agents can submit sites at CS Register Stage (i.e. Key Stage 2 Reg14) which can be viewed on web up to deposit stage. However, the DA needs to make clear that anyone can submit sites.

3.5.8 – says site selection criteria yet to be agreed – but the DA needs to clarify when & how this information will be made available / advertised.

3.9 & 3.11 refer to feedback aspects - not sure why 3.10 on consensus building splits is located between them (issue of clarity of DA).

3.10.2 - suggest reconsider the second sentence as majority basis won't necessarily be a good criteria for choosing it where consensus cannot be reached (e.g. regard must be had to other matters, for example, national policy) (see comment at 1.1.6 above).

4.4 - amend last part of sentence to WAG agreement (not approval) (- also in App1; App 2 is correct in this respect)

4.6 – the DA should advise that a new timetable will be submitted to WAG within 6 months of the Council's decision to review the timetable

#### Appendix 2:

- Key Stage 2: Refers to vision & objectives, should also refer to key issues & to strategic options – that will lead on to choice of a Preferred Option that will be advertised along with the strategic options at Reg15 (Key Stages 3).

Suggest clarify in DA who the Environmental Consultation Bodies are.

Improve clarity in the DA about the Candidate Site / Register / Filtering process.

Specify 5 week consultation period for SA Scoping Report.

- Key Stage 3:

See above point at Key Stage 2.

Specify 6 week consultation period Reg 15.

Include public consultation on the SA Report.

Purpose – meaning of 1st sentence is unclear ('to make'?)

- Key Stage 4:

Note that the deposit consultation period is over the peak summer weeks.

For advertising the site allocation reps, is Feb 10 'as soon as reasonably practicable after the end of the 6-week deposit period (Reg 20 & LDPW 4.26) ?

Alternative sites – suggest DA briefly clarifies the proponents responsibility re plan's soundness including strategy & SA (see LDP Manual 7.5.2)

- Key Stage 6:

Examination – 'who to involve' column is misleading as they won't all necessarily appear at examination – (Inspector will invite attendance, although only objectors would have a right to be heard); 'How' column gives 'meetings' which isn't the best way of describing the examination process which includes round-table sessions, informal & formal hearings, reps & written submissions.

- Key Stage 8:

Adoption - the Adoption Statement should also published & sent to WAG, and the SA Report finalised (this will incorporate the SEA requirement for an Environmental Report – SEA Reg 16(4) – see LDP Manual 8.5.2

#### Appendix 3:

Risks & Mitigation - suggest add SA/SEA/HDAAs implications on plan strategy / proposals as a risk.

#### Appendix 5:

Page 34 - Minor amendment to accord LDPW – 'Persons....&(b)..electronic communications apparatus...'

Carmarthenshire Youth Council isn't listed although referred at 3.5.7. (& App 7) - WDA no longer exists / nor DEIN – it is now WAG Department for the Economy and Transport (DE&T)

Report of Stakeholder input to the CIS is required when DA is finalised for agreement with the Assembly Government – suggest include a brief summary as an appendix and reference to where it can be viewed.

DA Self Assessment - no DA self-assessment has been provided – this is required at DA submission (LDP Manual 4.6.1 & Annex B).

*Officer response:*

Noted. Delivery Agreement will be reviewed in the light of the foregoing much of which relates to procedural issues.

*Officer recommendation:* See above

Ministry of Defence

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Re: Pendine - no observations

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 29 / 29

Respondent  
Mr T C Wycherley  
Ministry of Defence

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 30 / 30

Respondent  
Mrs H James  
Llanegwad Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Council requests that Jon Timothy attends the meeting for further clarification. Invitation extended to Mr Timothy to attend next monthly meeting for further discussions in relation to the LDP.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

Reference  
DA 31 / 31

Respondent  
Mr E Williams  
Gorslas Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: Community Councils as the democratically elected bodies who represent their communities should be involved at the earliest possible stage and a pro active effort by LDP team should be made to seek their views to ensure that the LDP is relevant, inclusive and engaging to local communities. The mistakes of the UDP must not be repeated.

For local communities the SA and SAE stage will be critical in respect to social, linguistic, economic and environmental aspects and as such it is extremely important that individual Community Councils and local people are part of this process if a collaborative approach to the plan preparation based on consensus is to be achieved. An extension of the timescale may be required for this to take place but may save problems later on.

We assume as there does not appear to be any specific mention in other parts of the Draft Agreement, that the SA stage will provide the opportunity to consider the effect of development on the sustainability of the Welsh Language and culture of communities within Carmarthenshire.

In the determination of the LDP boundaries it would be helpful if the Community Council could be given an up to date plan of their area showing the existing UDP boundaries after the Inspector's report, and the new indicative number of houses to be built on individual parcels of land.

The respondents comments relate to specific policy and other issues to be addressed within the Local Development Plan itself. The timetable for preparation of the LDP has been developed in light of the restrictions imposed by regulatory deadlines and requirements. The Delivery Agreement clearly identifies the important role to be played by Town and Community Councils as a specific Consultation body and by virtue of representation on the Key Stakeholder Forum.

*Officer response:* Noted

*Officer recommendation:* See above.

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?** yes no

Representation: I request that you include cultural and linguistic sustainability as part of your concept of sustainability.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?** yes no

Representation: I believe that special action plans should be made for each Welsh-speaking community as they are areas "of change". For this reason the County Council should arrange public meetings in each of these areas in partnership with community councils in order to obtain the response of the whole community.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation: No, I believe that each Welsh-speaking Community in "special areas of change" should be consulted. It should also be ensured that every school pupil council and sixth form council discusses the plan and responds.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?** yes no

Representation:

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 33 / 33

Respondent  
Ms M Dunne  
Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority

Agent (where)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: I have looked through and note that the National Park Authority are highlighted as a Specific Consultation Body and that as such we will receive the relevant documentation at the identified stages of consultation. This is sufficient for us.

Officer response: Noted

Officer recommendation: No change

## LATE REPRESENTATIONS

Reference	Respondent	Agent (where used)	
DA 34 / 34	Mrs K Morris Llanpumsaint Cyngor Cymuned		
<b>Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representaion:			
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:	There should be a representative from each area to ensure that everyone's opinion is heard.		
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:	No comments		
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:	It seems fair. The Community Council's comments need to be considered and discussed by the County Council.		
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:	The local community network helps the public to become involved with issues which are relevant to the area.		
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:	Yes, it's very clear, but it's important that a representative is present from each area to give an opinion.		
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:			
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:			
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?</b>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Representation:			
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			
<b>Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?</b>			
Representation:	No, the draft is very comprehensive.		
Officer response:			
Officer recommendation:			

Reference  
DA 35 / 35

Respondent  
Cllr J C Moseley  
Mawr Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

I have been mandated by Mawr Community Council to respond to the Carmarthenshire Local Development Draft Delivery Agreement as one of the bodies listed in the Community Involvement Scheme. We have noted the contents, but feel there is little point in commenting in view of the lack of notice taken by Carmarthenshire County Council of the comments of all the neighbouring authorities in response to the Betws Wind Farm application and even those of statutory bodies such as the Countryside Council for Wales and CADW, (let alone your own recently adopted policies CUDP9 and CUDP10). Obviously Carmarthenshire County Council does not genuinely seek views from outside the county, which can only be to the detriment of those you are responsible to, as well as our community.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 36 / 36

Respondent  
Mr P Wilkins  
Laugharne Township Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

1. I confirm no response was submitted.
2. This should be interpreted as 'no response' and not as 'general satisfaction', as suggested.
3. I do not feel it is appropriate for the County Council to complain about a lack of response. Community Councils receive dozens of often long and complex consultation documents including Planning, Social Services, Licensing, Fire Service, Health, Ambulance, Environment Agency, Utility Companies etc. All have to be dealt with usually by one part time officer and no budget for copying and distribution. You should therefore not be surprised at receiving no response to a single copy voluminous consultation document.
4. I note the commitment to seminars etc.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 37 / 37

Respondent  
Ms L Hill  
Llanddewi Velfrey Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 38 / 38

Respondent  
Ms Ella Beattie  
Henllanfallteg Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 39 / 39

Respondent  
Mrs L Dalton  
Llangynin Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

We confirm that the Community Council have no comments to make on the Draft Delivery Agreement. We would like to be kept informed of any correspondence or submission of candidate sites for Llangynin, and the surrounding area, as soon as possible to ensure that we have sufficient time, within the timescale, to put forward the views of the residents of Llangynin.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 40 / 40

Respondent  
Mr M MacDonald  
Kidwelly Town Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation: Uncertain exactly when you will be seeking the views of the Council and what input you will be wanting.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation: See earlier comment (3).

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation: See earlier comment under (3).

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: Please add Kidwelly Town Forum c/o Mrs Jan Bettll-Higgins (Chair Person)

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation: See earlier comment under 3d otherwise ok.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation: No

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

Reference  
DA 41 / 41

Respondent  
Mr I W Davies  
Llangynnwr Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Engaging with individuals within committee will be very challenging within the proposed timescale.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Responding to correspondence within the proposed timescale of 21 days could prove difficult for Community Councils as they usually meet on a monthly basis and working through 'large substantial' documents - suggest a timescale of 30 days as being more realistic.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

1) Bearing in mind the broad representation achieved via Town & Community Councils throughout the County area, it is felt that this sector is under represented and should be increased to 6 : 2 Town Councils, 2 Urban Community Councils, 2 Rural Councils.  
2) The cultural aspect is absent from the Forum and should be represented bearing in mind the significance of Welsh culture in the life and nature of Carmarthenshire.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Seems very comprehensive and appears to include all aspects of Carmarthenshire.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

There are numerous Residents and Tenants Groups who could be usefully targeted.

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

1. Engaging individuals and obtaining their views will be challenging in the formative stage and within the timescales presented in the agreement.  
2. Community involvement should emphasise and promote the significance of building or rebuilding sustainable communities and guard against the downward spiral of previously 'lively' conurbations into 'sterile' dormitory residential areas.  
3. Actual public transport users could provide useful information and should be incorporated in the consultation process. The emphasis on involving the youth in the Agreement is encouraging but it is unclear how the specific views of young people throughout the County, especially pupils and students, will be accessed first hand. It is noted that the URDD youth movement is not included amongst the listed consultation groups.

Officer response:

*Officer recommendation:*

Reference  
DA 42 / 42

Respondent  
Mr Ken Davies  
Cenarth Community Council

Agent (where used)

**Q1a Do you consider that the content and purpose of the draft Delivery Agreement is clear and understandable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q1b Does the draft Delivery Agreement make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q2a Do you consider the proposed timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3a Does the Community Involvement Scheme make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3b Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3c Is it clear what contribution you can or are expected to make?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3d Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3e Do you consider that the appropriate Specific, General or Other Consultation Bodies have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Q3f Do you consider that the appropriate Multi-Agency Partnerships have been identified to achieve a representative plan?**

yes no

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

**Agreement? Q4 Do you have any additional comments or suggestions in relation to the Draft Delivery Agreement?**

Representation:

Officer response:

Officer recommendation:

# Carmarthenshire Local Development Plan

## Advisory Panel Meeting

23.07.07

ITEM	SUBJECT	ACTION
	<p><b><u>Present</u></b></p> <p>Cllr Clive Scourfield CS Cllr Ivor Jackson IJ Cllr Jane Tremlett Cllr JT Cllr Sandra Cooke SC Cllr Keri Thomas KT Cllr June Williams JW Cllr Eirwyn Williams EW Jon Timothy, Forward Planning Manager JT Ian Llewelyn, Senior Forward Planning Officer IL Bethan Lovering, Forward Planning Officer BL Neil Bateman, Policy Officer NB Steve Murphy, Senior Solicitor SM Angela Robinson, Administrative Assistant AR</p> <p><b>1. <u>Apologies</u></b></p> <p>Cllr Peter Cooper Cllr Jeff Thomas</p> <p><b>2. <u>Coffee, Welcome and Introductions</u></b></p> <p><b>3. <u>Election of Chair/Vice Chair</u></b></p> <p>JW was proposed as Chairperson and all were in agreement. IJ was proposed as Vice Chair and again all were in favour.</p> <p><b>4. <u>Terms of Reference/Constitution</u></b></p> <p>JT explained the strict time table laid down by the Welsh Assembly Government (WAG) explaining that further meetings may be convened at short notice at key stages of the Plan. The Advisory Panel will have an important role by taking political ownership of the Plan.</p> <p>Concern was expressed as to the possible penalties that would be faced if the timetable was not adhered to.</p>	

<p>The Plan is to be prepared over a four year period.</p> <p>Cllr JT suggested the use of Carmarthenshire Radio &amp; local media as a method of advertising the LDP preparation process.</p> <p>CS expressed concern that some bodies had not been directly consulted. JT replied that whilst participation would be invited from all, a line would have to be drawn with regards differentiating between general and named consultees. CS agreed, adding that open meetings for public debate would be impractical, however – see action.</p> <p>CS stated that local access groups are not mentioned in the DA. It will be the responsibility of the Advisory Panel to give the stakeholders guidance to enable them to bring their specific spheres of knowledge to the table and play an active and constructive role. Although the Local Authority and Stakeholders will be in a position to shape things within the LDP, JT pointed out that the Inspector’s decision cannot be challenged.</p> <p>SM outlined the purpose of the Stakeholder Forum which will be to act as a sounding board throughout the preparation process requiring regular involvement from representatives. Above this tier is the Advisory Panel whose main purpose will be to ensure that the whole process is carried out in accordance with the Delivery Agreement. The Panel will have a duty to scrutinise and evaluate and may also be required to arbitrate on opposing view points.</p> <p>It was proposed that the Executive Board Member for Regeneration and Leisure and the Chair of Planning should sit on the Key Stakeholder Forum. Representatives on the Forum should remain the same to retain continuity. It was envisaged that meetings would be held every three months with possibly four Stakeholder Forums in total to correspond with key stages of the Plan.</p> <p>SC asked whether substitutes would be allowed should a member</p>	<p>check whether the stakeholder forum meetings are open to the public as observers.</p> <p>add local access forum to list of consultees</p>
--	--

	<p>of the Key Stakeholder Forum be unable to attend a meeting. SM stated that this would be impractical.</p> <p>If for any reason a Member should stand down the responsibility for a replacement would go back to the Executive Board.</p> <p>JT suggested that the Chairperson of the Advisory Panel could also be Chairperson for the Stakeholder Forum, but admitted that the Key Stakeholder Forum may wish to nominate their own Chairperson. SM emphasised the importance of a link between the two. CS suggested that SM be present at the first Stakeholder Forum as a link. The Members asked if they could sit as observers to the Stakeholder Forum. SM did not see a problem although this issue would need to be clarified. JW requested that Members receive notes of Forum meetings.</p> <p><b>5. Role of the Advisory Panel</b></p> <p>Paragraph 3.4.2 of the DA explains clearly the role of the Advisory Panel.</p> <p><b>6. Introduction to the Local Development Plan</b></p> <p>The Advisory Panel were presented with a booklet explaining the LDP process. JT stated that if anyone needs more information his team would be only too happy to assist. After discussion, it was felt appropriate that an Away Day/Training Day be organised for members of the Advisory Panel. A suitable date and venue to be arranged. JT requested that any items to be placed on the agenda should be emailed to him. Attention was also drawn to a forthcoming WAG training day, on the entire development plan process.</p> <p><b>7. Draft Delivery Agreement – Representations Received</b></p> <p>Members were presented with copies of a report of representations received in respect of the Delivery Agreement. The Members ratified the Officer’s recommendations in respect of the representations. Cllr JT enquired as to whether the small number of responses should be a cause for concern. JT replied that the lack of responses could be taken as an indication of the</p>	<p>check whether the stakeholder forum meetings are open to local members as observers.</p> <p>arrange date and venue</p>
--	---	---

public's approval of the document.

JT added that one or two Community Councils had requested that the Forward Planning Section attend community council meetings. Seminars for the Community Councils might represent a more appropriate mechanism. EW was concerned that more Community Councils had not responded and suggested that they be contacted again. Discussion followed on the probable reasons for the poor response from Community Councils. JW felt that a reminder should be sent out; the rest of the Members agreed.

JT emphasised, however, that in view of the tight timescale if reminders are sent it would be unlikely that responses would be received in time for us to respond to WAG by the deadline of 31<sup>st</sup> July 2007. Nevertheless, it was felt that community council responses would be relevant and could be kept on file, even if they did not arrive in time for the submission deadline.

JT to write again to community councils explaining the amendments to the DA and the extension of the LDP timetable.

**8.**

**Next Steps**

The Stakeholder Forum should consist of two urban community councils and two rural community councils. It was hoped that these could be selected from volunteers. It was noted that Llanelli Town Council and Carmarthen Rural Council wish to be members. Of the remaining two, it was emphasised that one should ideally be situated within the old Carmarthen District area and the other from Dinefwr. CS enquired about *One Voice Wales*.

The key stages and steps in the preparation of the LDP are set out in the Delivery Agreement. The sequence of events remains as in the same draft DA but has been extended by six months. This will consequently result in a protracted period between this and subsequent PAG meetings. The timing of future meetings will be somewhat irregular, coinciding generally with the key stages of LDP preparation.

JT explained that the next stage before we go to the Stakeholder

Forum is to develop a vision and establish LDP objectives, key issues and strategic options.

JW asked whether parking in town centres and flats would be covered by the Plan. JT explained that in accordance with WAG advice LDPs should be broad in their content and such matters as parking should come under the terms of supplementary planning guidance.

CS asked whether the Assembly had given us any idea as to how many houses should be built in Carmarthen and SC enquired as to the definition of affordable housing. JT concluded that we can project how many houses will be built but not who lives in them.

**9. AOB/Close**

JT concluded that should the Members have any further queries they should contact the Forward Planning Section. There being no further business the meeting closed at 3.30p.m.

It was agreed that the next meeting of the Advisory Panel would be held before the first Key Stakeholder Forum.